

JUL 12 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALSJUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

Nos. 09-90230 and 09-90231

ORDER**KOZINSKI**, Chief Judge:

A pro se prisoner has filed a rambling and difficult-to-decipher complaint alleging, as best I can make out, that a district judge and a magistrate judge made improper substantive and procedural rulings in his civil cases. These charges relate directly to the merits of the judges' rulings and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982).

Complainant also seems to allege that the judges were biased against him and conspired with defendants. But he hasn't provided any objectively verifiable proof to support these allegations, so they must be dismissed as well. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 569 F.3d 1093, 1093 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009). Complainant points to various adverse rulings, but they alone don't constitute proof of bias. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598, 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009).

Complainant is advised that misconduct complaints should be terse and contain a clear statement of the alleged misconduct. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 6(b). Any further complaints that fail to comply with these guidelines may be dismissed without substantive review.

DISMISSED.